CE 205A Transportation Logistics

Lecture 19 Vehicle Scheduling Problem

- Single Depot VSP
- 2 Multi Depot VSP

The Vehicle Scheduling Problem (VSP) deals with assigning vehicles (buses, flights, ferries, trains) to scheduled time tables.

Assume that we are given a set of trips $\{T_1, \ldots, T_n\}$ and a single depot D.

Let each trip *i* have a departure/start time d_i and arrival/end time a_i .

Also suppose that trip *i* starts at a location p_i and terminates at a location q_i .

We assume that all vehicles start at the depot and return back to it.

Vehicles can deadhead from q_i to p_j without carrying passengers and incur a cost c_{ij} and take t_{ij} units of time.

Deadheading between trips T_i and T_j are allowed only if they are *compat-ible*, i.e., $a_i + t_{ij} > d_j$.

The goal is usually either minimize the number of vehicles used or the total cost of deadheading.

Network Flow Formulation – Version I

There are multiple ways to formulate this problem. We first create a graph G = (N, A) with departure event nodes, arrival event nodes, and the depot (or two copies of it say D_d and D_a).



Network Flow Formulation – Version I

Three types of edges are included in the graph:

- Pull-in and pull-out arcs from and to depot (grey) A₁
- Trip arcs (green) A₂
- Compatible arcs (blue) A₃



Only deadheading of pull-in and pull-out arcs and compatible arcs matter. (Why?) $% \left(\left({{{\rm{W}}{\rm{b}}{\rm{s}}{\rm{s}}{\rm{s}}} \right) \right)$

Formulate the problem as a min-cost network flow problem.

$$\min \sum_{\substack{(i,j)\in A_1\cup A_3}} c_{ij}x_{ij} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad x(\delta^+(i)) = x(\delta^-(i)) \qquad i \in \{d_1,\ldots,d_n\} \cup \{a_1,\ldots,a_n\} \\ x_{ij} = 1 \qquad \forall (i,j) \in A_2 \\ x_{ij} \in \{0,1\} \qquad \forall (i,j) \in A$$

Is constraint matrix totally unimodular? How do we model the problem of minimizing the number of vehicles used?

Solution



Network Flow Formulation – Version II

Alternatively instead of representing events, a trip is represented as a node. Write a network flow formulation for this network.



(Trips have been moved horizontally for visualization purposes.)

Network Flow Formulation – Version II

$$\min \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in A}} c_{ij} x_{ij}$$
s.t. $x(\delta^+(i)) = 1$ $i \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$
 $x(\delta^+(i)) = x(\delta^-(i))$ $\forall i \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$
 $x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ $\forall (i, j) \in A$

One could also impose restrictions on the fleet sizes using additional constraints.

A third formulation can be written that moves the trip flows to demands to make it appear in a more familiar form on a slightly modified network.



Let b_i indicate the demands which is set to +1 for the arrival nodes and -1 for the departure nodes.

$$\min \sum_{(i,j)\in A} c_{ij} x_{ij}$$
s.t. $x(\delta^+(i)) - x(\delta^-(i)) = b_i \forall i \in N$

$$0 \le x_{ij} \le 1 \forall (i,j) \in A$$

Matching Problem

The SDVSP problem can also be modeled as a bipartite matching problem using the compatible edges when minimizing the number of vehicles.



The optimal solution is n- optimal cardinality of the above matching. (Why?)

Multi Depot VSP

The SDVSP can be solved in polynomial time. However, in most scenarios there are multiple depots.

Suppose the system has depots D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k . Each depot is assumed to have a capacity ν_k . Let $K = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$

Suppose vehicles can start at any depot and end at any depot as long as the capacity limits are met.

Can you solve this using an optimization model? What is the complexity of this variant.

In the more general setting, vehicles are tagged to depots and start and end their trips at the same depot.

This helps with servicing and maintenance activities. Formulate this version using an optimization model.

Create k layers $G^k = (V^k, A^k)$ or copies of the network, where $V^k = \{T_1, \ldots, T_n, D_k^a, D_k^d\}$. The arc set for each graph is similar to before.

The pull-in and pull-out arc costs can now be depot-dependent.

Multi Depot VSP

Introduction



Let x_{ij}^k be 1 if a link (i, j) in layer k is traversed and is 0 otherwise.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min \ \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}^k} c_{ij}^k x_{ij}^k \\ \text{s.t.} \ \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} x^k (\delta^+(i)) = 1 & i \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\} \\ x^k (\delta^+(i)) = x^k (\delta^-(i)) & \forall i \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}, k \in \mathcal{K} \\ x^k (\delta^+(D_k^d)) \le \nu_k & \forall k \in \mathcal{K} \\ x_{ij}^k \in \{0, 1\} & \forall (i,j) \in \mathcal{A}^k, k \in \mathcal{K} \end{array}$$

Column Generation

Just as the VRP, one can also formulate this using a set-packing formulation. This allows us to impose rotation/duty-specific constraints.

Let Ω_k be rotations that start from a depot D_k , visits a few trips and comes back to the same depot.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{p \in \Omega_k} c_p y_p \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{p \in \Omega_k} y_p \leq \nu_k & \forall k \in K \\ & \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{p \in \Omega_k} a_{ip} y_p = 1 & \forall i \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\} \\ & y_p \in \{0, 1\} & \forall p \in \Omega_k, k \in K \end{array}$$

Column Generation

Can you write the pricing problem formulation for the LP relaxation of the RMP?

$$\begin{aligned} \min & -\sigma_k + \sum_{(i,j)\in A^k} (c_{ij} - \pi_j) x_{ij}^k \\ \text{s.t.} \quad x^k (\delta^+(i)) - x^k (\delta^-(i)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = D_k^d \\ -1 & \text{if } i = D_k^a \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ x_{ij}^k \in \geq 0 & \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, (i,j) \in A^k \end{aligned}$$



Feedback?

Your Moment of Zen

